BC BUILDING CODE INTERPRETATION COMMITTEE AIBC, APEGBC, BOABC, POABC

File No: 98-0143	INTERPRETATION	Page 1 of 1	
Interpretation Date:	January 17, 2006		
Building Code Edition:	BC Building Code 1998	BC Building Code 1998	
Subject:	Fire stopping of duct pe	Fire stopping of duct penetrations at firewalls	
Keywords:	FT fire stopping, ducts,	FT fire stopping, ducts, firewalls	
Building Code Reference(s):	3.1.9.1.(2), 3.1.8.4.(1)(3.1.9.1.(2), 3.1.8.4.(1)(c), 3.1.8.8.	

Question:

1. When a duct is provided with a fire damper where it penetrates a firewall, is a ULC listed fire stop system with an FT rating required at annular space between the duct sleeve and the opening in the wall as per Sentence 3.1.9.1.(2)?

Interpretation:

1. No

The fire damper itself maintains the required fire resistance rating at the duct penetration. The SMACNA guidelines for fire damper installation and the ULC listings for such dampers require clearances between the duct sleeve and the wall opening of 1/8" per lineal foot of duct dimension (e.g. a 24" x 24" duct requires a gap of 1/4" all around the duct). The purpose of this clearance to allow for thermal expansion of the duct sleeve in order to maintain proper operation of the fire damper assembly. Fire stop materials should not be installed within the annular space around the duct sleeve since this could interfere with the required thermal movement of the sleeve under fire conditions resulting in potential jamming of the fire damper.

Where fire dampers are waived in accordance with Article 3.1.8.8., an FT firestop system would be required for the duct penetration through the firewall. This is an unlikely scenario since there are currently no ULC listed assemblies for FT firestop systems for duct penetrations.

R. J. Light, Committee Chair

The views expressed are the consensus of the joint committee of AIBC, APEGBC, BOABC, and POABC, which form the BC Building Code Interpretation Committee. The purpose of the committee is to encourage uniform province wide interpretation of the BC Building Code. These views should not be considered as the official interpretation of legislated requirements based on the BC Building Code, as final responsibility for an interpretation rests with the local *Authority Having Jurisdiction*. The views of the joint committee should not be construed as legal advice.