BC BUILDING CODE INTERPRETATION COMMITTEE

A joint committee with members representing AIBC, EGBC, BOABC

File No: 18-0263 INTERPRETATION Page 1 of 2

| Interpretation Date: | June 27, 2023 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Building Code Edition: | BC Building Code 2018 |
| Subject: | Panic Hardware |
| Keywords: | Panic Hardware |
| Building Code Reference(s): | 3.4.6.16.(2) |

Question:

Clauses (a), (b) and (c) of Sentence 3.4.6.16.(2) refer to different door locations where a device is required that will release the latch and allow the door to swing wide open when a force of not more than 90 N is applied to the device in the direction of travel (eg. "panic hardware"). Clauses (b) and (c) are connected by "and" at the end of Clause (b). Does this mean that panic hardware is required only at doors that satisfy all of the criteria of Clauses (a), (b) and (c)?

Interpretation:

No.

The Preface to the BCBC contains an explanation of the meaning of the words "and" and "or" between the Clauses and Subclauses of a Sentence. The appearance of the word "and" at the end of the second last Clause or Subclause means that all of the applicable Clauses of the Sentence, or the Subclauses of the Clause, are connected to each other with the word "and". A similar linking between Clauses or Subclauses occurs if the word "or" is used. The Preface explanation also notes that a Clause or Subclause must always be read in conjunction with its introductory text at the beginning of the Sentence.

Sentence 3.4.6.16.(2) refers to 3 locations where doors with panic hardware are required, which are:

(a) every exit door from a floor area containing an assembly occupancy having an occupant load more than 100,

Patrick Shek, P.Eng., CP, FEC, Committee Chair

Pax Shelf

The views expressed are the consensus of the joint committee with members representing AIBC, EGBC and BOABC, which form the BC Building Code Interpretation Committee. The Building and Safety Standards Branch, Province of BC and the City of Vancouver participate in the committee's proceedings with respect to interpretations of the BC Building Code. The purpose of the committee is to encourage uniform province wide interpretation of the BC Building Code. These views should not be considered as the official interpretation of legislated requirements based on the BC Building Code, as final responsibility for an interpretation rests with the local *Authority Having Jurisdiction*. The views of the joint committee should not be construed as legal advice.

2023-08-19

BC BUILDING CODE INTERPRETATION COMMITTEE

A joint committee with members representing AIBC, EGBC, BOABC

File No: 18-0263 INTERPRETATION Page 2 of 2

- (b) every door leading to an exit lobby from an exit stair shaft, and every exterior door leading from an exit stair shaft in a building having and occupancy load more than 100, and
- (c) every exit door from a floor area containing a high-hazard industrial occupancy.

These are different locations of doors. The introductory text at the beginning of the Sentence must be read in conjunction with the Clauses. The "and" between Clauses (b) and (c) means that the requirement of Sentence 3.4.6.16.(2) applies to each of the locations described in the Clauses, not that panic hardware is required only at doors that meet all of the criteria stated in the 3 Clauses. (It is unlikely that such a door would exist.)



Patrick Shek, P.Eng., CP, FEC, Committee Chair

The views expressed are the consensus of the joint committee with members representing AIBC, EGBC and BOABC, which form the BC Building Code Interpretation Committee. The Building and Safety Standards Branch, Province of BC and the City of Vancouver participate in the committee's proceedings with respect to interpretations of the BC Building Code. The purpose of the committee is to encourage uniform province wide interpretation of the BC Building Code. These views should not be considered as the official interpretation of legislated requirements based on the BC Building Code, as final responsibility for an interpretation rests with the local *Authority Having Jurisdiction*. The views of the joint committee should not be construed as legal advice.