BC BUILDING CODE INTERPRETATION COMMITTEE

A joint committee with members representing AIBC, EGBC, BOABC

File No: 18-0287 INTERPRETATION Page 1 of 1

Interpretation Date:	September 22, 2023
Building Code Edition:	BC Building Code 2018
Subject:	Fire rating for wood frame assemblies
Keywords:	Fire rating, membrane ceilings, wood roof trusses
Building Code Reference(s):	3.1.7.1.(4), Table A-9.10.3.1-B

Question:

For Part 3 wood frame buildings, can the fire resistance of a floor or roof assembly be based on the provisions of Table A-9.10.3.1.- B

Interpretation:

No (with 2 exceptions described in Items 3 & 4 below).

The determination of fire-resistance rating of a floor or roof assembly in a Part 3 building must meet the requirements of Article 3.1.7.1.

This Article provides 4 options for determining fire-resistance ratings:

- 1. Assemblies that have been tested to CAN/ULC S101,
- 2. Designed to the requirements of Appendix D,
- 3. A wood roof assembly with wood trusses is permitted to be assigned a fireresistance rating in accordance with Assembly Number R1 in Table A-9.10.3.1.- B
- 4. A ceiling membrane for floor or roof assemblies is permitted to be assigned a fire-resistance rating in accordance with Assembly Number M1 and M2 in Table A-9.10.3.1.- B

Patrick Shek, P.Eng., CP, FEC, Committee Chair

2023-10-16

Par Shek

The views expressed are the consensus of the joint committee with members representing AIBC, EGBC and BOABC, which form the BC Building Code Interpretation Committee. The Building and Safety Standards Branch, Province of BC and the City of Vancouver participate in the committee's proceedings with respect to interpretations of the BC Building Code. The purpose of the committee is to encourage uniform province wide interpretation of the BC Building Code. These views should not be considered as the official interpretation of legislated requirements based on the BC Building Code, as final responsibility for an interpretation rests with the local *Authority Having Jurisdiction*. The views of the joint committee should not be construed as legal advice.